SMART Goal Worksheet					
School:	Minter Creek Elementary	Team:	Math	Leader:	SIP Team
	District Goal: The primary focus of our their highest level and will show contir			ed rigorous standards.	All students are challenged to perform
m	trategies: Deliver an articulated K-12 neasures progress and informs instruction xpectations; align resources for classroo	onal decisio	ons; Provide additional instru	ictional support for eve	ry student falling below achievement
ות ות	leasures : Improvement in individual s umbers of students moving up in level o umbers of students prepared for success raders on track to graduate.	fachieveme	ent; Increased enrolment an	d success in AP and adv	anced courses and exams; Increased
• B •	Ey June 2015, Minter Creek student In Kindergarten 80% of the studen assessments. In grades 1-2 30% of Tier 2 studen o 1 st Grade will move 4 out o	nts (41 ou nts will me	t of 52) will reach bench	-	-
•	 2nd Grade will move 3 out o In grades 1-2 10% of Tier 3 student 1st Grade will move 1 out o 2nd Grade will move 2 out o 	nts will mo of 5	ove to Tier2 as measured	by the Math Computat	ional Assessment.
•		n <i>ts will m</i> o of 9 of 31	ove to Tier 1 as measured	by the Math Computa	tional Assessment.
•	In grade 3-5 10% of Tier 3 studen o 3 rd Grade will move 1 out o o 4 th Grade will move 2 out o	of 8	ve to Tier 2 as measured l	by the Math Computati	ional Assessment.

 \circ 5th Grade will move 2 out of 13

- Rationale:
- Schools that use common assessments and modified instruction based on student need have a higher student success rate.
- Using Dufour's four Questions we can monitor student progress.

What do we want students to know?

How do we know if they got it?

What do we do for the students who do not get it?

What do we do for those students who do get it?

Current Data: Fall 2014: % of total grade level at each Tier.

AIMS	Sub-Test	Tier 1	Tier 2	Tier 3		
K NI		65% (34)	19% (12)	8% (5)		
1st M-Cor	np	72% (44)	19% (12)	8% (5)		
2nd M-Cor	np	71% (43)	16% (10)	11% (7)		
3rd M-Cor	np	70% (40)	15% (9)	14% (8)		
4th M-Cor	np	45% (38)	36% (31)	17% (15)		
5th M-Cor	np	61% (40)	18% (12)	20% (13)		
Strategies & Action Steps	Who is I	Responsible	Target Date or Timeline	e Evidenc	e of Effectiveness	Professional Dev. & Support
What do we want students to know? Teachers will provide a balanced Math program averaging at least 60 minutes daily to include instruction focused on grade level Common Core standards using Envisions Math Curriculum	All classroom support staff, volunteers	,	Throughout 2015-2016 sch year		licated by assessments	Building Professional Days Collaboration Wednesdays Grade Level Team Meetings Time to plan units, review standards, and curriculum. Focus on Research Based Teaching Practices Professional Learning Comm.

		District benoor improv		1
How do we know if students understand it? Teachers will monitor student progress by administering formal and informal assessments				
Action Step #1: Teachers will administer district adopted assessments to show student growth and progress. • Envisions End of Unit • Envisions Benchmark • Envisions End of Year What do we do for the students who did not and did get it?	All classroom teachers and Support Staff	See Minter Creek and District Assessment Calendar	Growth indicated by classroom assessments and reviewed in IMS	Collaboration Wednesday: • conversations and training about assessment protocol • conversation and training about analyzing assessment outcomes
Action Step #2: Provide intervention for students not meeting standard based on reading assessments and enrichment for those ready to move on. • RTI intervention groups • Title • WATCH DOGS & Parent Volunteers • Student Success Team	All Classroom teachers Title Staff Teachers teaching mentor program	Throughout the 2015-2016 school year.	Teachers will use on-going Math assessments to provide focused instruction and intervention.	 Collaboration Wednesday: Focus on research based reading interventions. Teachers will share intervention strategies and create a list of research based classroom interventions Focus on the RTI process and how to

SWAT Team		make it most effective

		SN	ART Goal Wo	orksneet	
School:	Minter Creek Elementary	Team:	Writing	Leader:	SIP Team
	istrict Goal: The primary focus of our t their highest level and will show conti			or exceed rigorous standards.	All students are challenged to perform
m	trategies: Deliver an articulated K-12 neasures progress and informs instruct spectations; align resources for classro	ional decisio	ons; Provide additiona	al instructional support for eve	ery student falling below achievement
nı nı	leasures : Improvement in individual umbers of students moving up in level o umbers of students prepared for succes raders on track to graduate.	of achievem	ent; Increased enroln	nent and success in AP and adv	anced courses and exams; Increased
	Goal: By June 2015, Minter Creek 3, and level 3 to level 4 as indicat				nent from level 1 to level 2, level
	a <mark>rten</mark> -By March 2014 all students w ientation left to right, and be able to				
	e -All first grade students will make asks and writing rubrics.	growth in t	the areas of narrativ	e, opinion, & informative/e	xplanatory writing using the distri
	le -By June 2014 second grade stude mn on district rubric.	ents will use	e details to describe	actions, thoughts and feelir	ngs in narrative writing and advanc
	le -By June 2014, 75% (56 students) oh essay.	of 3 rd grad	e students will succ	essfully respond to teacher	given prompts with minimum 3
<u>4th Grad</u>	<mark>e</mark> -by June 2014, 72% of 4 th grade st	udents will	be meeting standar	d in writing as measured by	the district writing prompts.
	<mark>e</mark> - All students level 1 or 2 (As meas standards at a level 3 will move one		<u> </u>		•
Rational	le:				
2 nd Grad	e-Minter Creek has not scored well	on state ass	sessments in Writin	σ	
			sessificates in writein	5.	

version 1.0

5 th Grade- To improve con	ventions in written expressi	on.		
Strategies & Action Steps	Who is Responsible	Target Date or Timeline	Evidence of Effectiveness	Professional Dev. & Support
(K) Action Steps -Nellie Edge -Benchmarks -Monthly anchor papers -Daily Practice with different modalities -Modeling using space man, doing writing practice every day -handwriting	-Kindergarten Teachers	-June 2014	-Demonstrate consistently when writing name case sensitive -Spelling phonetically & paying close attention to beginning and ending sounds	-PLC group collaboration times.
<u>1st Grade Action Steps</u> Focus on writing standards using district resources (Benchmark/Lucy Calkins)	-First Grade Team	District Writing Tasks -November (Personal Narrative) -March-Opinion -June-Informative	-Growth from Pre to Post for each district writing task.	-District Writing Trainings -Grade Level Collaboration -Cross Grade Level Collaboration
2nd <u>Grade Action Steps</u> -Writer workshop lessons -Benchmark Writing Mentor books -6 Trait Writing -Owocki Book	-Teachers -Students -Paras	-Pre/October 1 st -Post November 25 th -27th	-Pre & Post Assessment "A time you were happy" -Student Work -Notebooks -Exit Slips	-Collaboration @ Benchmark -Book Study (Owockicc) Writing book
<u>3rd Grade Action Steps</u> -Benchmark Writing -Writers Workshop Model -Teacher made rubrics/checklists -Peer grouping -Norming with team	-Classroom Teachers -Support Staff -SPED	-June 2014	-Student shows growth/achievement of CCSS	-Collaboration time to score -Anchor Papers
<u>4th Grade Action Steps</u> -Benchmark Writing, Writers Workshop	-4 th Grade team -students/parents -SPED/Title	-Benchmark Pacing Chart -Papers –November, March, June September - June	-Pre/Post Writing Assessments -Daily Writing -Published Work	-Teammates -B.Reichel -SPED/Title District Workshops
5 th Grade Action Steps Lessons from Benchmark's	-5 th grade team -Principal		Periodic formative conventions quizzes, June	Further support with Benchmark Writing

version 1.0

Writer Workshop, specific		writing prompt, individual	curriculum, coming to a
targeted convention skills in		teacher/student conference to	consensus on what qualifies as
daily practice, focused		discuss progress in written	a level 1-4 using the scoring
language instruction in non-		expression.	rubric.
fiction text using Science			
Studies Weekly Magazine.			

School: Minter Creek	Reading Team:	Leader: SIP & Title Teams
• District Goal: The primary focus of our v at their highest level and will show continu		gorous standards. All students are challenged to perform
measures progress and informs instructio	0	rds and taught with fidelity; Utilize assessment data that onal support for every student falling below achievement ovidence –based teaching strategies.
numbers of students moving up in level of	achievement; Increased enrolment and suc	e as indicated by district and state assessments; Increased ccess in AP and advanced courses and exams; Increased on, and civic responsibilities; Increased number of ninth
SMART Goal:		
• By June 2015, Minter Creek students	s will show growth in Reading.	
• In Kindergarten 80% of the studen	ts (41 out of 52) will reach benchmar	m k for letter sounds as measured by AIMS assessments
• In grades 1-2 30% of Tier 2 studen	ts will move to Tier 1 as measured by t	he AIMS Oral Reading Assessment.
$\circ ~~1^{ m st}$ Grade will move 7 out of	21	
$\circ~~2^{ m nd}$ Grade will move 6 out of	18	
• In grades 1-2 10% of Tier 3 studen	ts will move to Tier 2 as measured by t	he AIMS Oral Reading Assessment.
\circ 1 st Grade will move 1 out of	f 6	
• 2 nd Grade will move 2 out o	f 17	
 In grades 3-5 30% of Tier 2 studen 	ts will move to Tier 1 as measured by t	he AIMS Maze Assessment.
\circ 3 rd Grade will move 6 out o	f 19	
\circ 4 th Grade will move 7 out o	f 21	
\circ 5 th Grade will move 7 out o	f 21	
 In grade 3-5 10% of Tier 3 student 	s will move to Tier 2 as measured by th	ne AIMS Maze Assessment.
\circ 3 rd Grade will move 2 out o	f 17	
\circ 4 th Grade will move 2 out of	22	

- 4th Grade will move 2 out of 22
- $\circ~~5^{th}\,Grade$ will move ~2 out of 14

- Rationale:
- Schools that use common assessments and modified instruction based on student need have a higher student success rate.
- Using Dufour's four Questions we can monitor student progress.

What do we want students to know?

How do we know if they got it?

What do we do for the students who do not get it?

What do we do for those students who do get it?

Current Data: Fall 2014: % of total grade level at each Tier.

	AIMS	Sub-Test Tier 1	Tier 2	Tier	r 3		
К	LN	65% (34)	25% (13)	9%	(5)		
	LS	69% (36)	7% (4)	23%	6 (12)		
1st	OR	55% (34)	35% (21)	10%	6)		
2nd	OR	41% (25)	30% (18)	28%	6 (17)		
3rd	OR	49% (28)	26% (15)	24%	6 (14)		
	MAZE	35% (20)	33% (19)	30%	6 (17)		
4th	OR	52% (44)	32% (27)	15%	6 (13)		
	MAZE	48% (40)	25% (21)	26%	6 (22)		
5th	OR	70% (46)	15% (23)	6%	(4)		
	MAZE	46% (30)	32% (21)	21%	6 (14)		
Strategies &	Action Steps	Who is Responsible	Target Date or Time	ine	Evidence of Eff	ectiveness	Professional Dev. & Support
What do w	ve want						
students to	o know?						
Teachers will balanced read program aver least 90 minu include instru focused on gr Common Core	ding raging at ites daily to uction rade level	All classroom teachers, support staff, and adult volunteers	Throughout 2015-2016 s year	chool	Growth indicated classroom assessr	-	Building Professional Days Collaboration Wednesdays Grade Level Team Meetings Time to plan units, review standards, and curriculum. Focus on Research Based Teaching Practices

How do we know if students understand it? Teachers will monitor student progress by administering formal and informal assessments				Professional Learning Comm.
Action Step #1: Teachers will administer district adopted assessments to show student growth and progress. • K-1 Portfolio • DRA • BRI • STAR reading test • Running Records • Individual student conferencing	All classroom teachers and Support Staff	See Minter Creek and District Assessment Calendar	Growth indicated by classroom assessments and reviewed in IMS	Collaboration Wednesday: • conversations and training about assessment protocol • conversation and training about analyzing assessment outcomes
What do we do for the students who did not and did get it?				
Action Step #2: Provide intervention for students not meeting standard based on reading assessments and enrichment for those ready to move on. • RTI intervention groups • LAP/Title	All Classroom teachers LAP Staff Teachers teaching mentor program	Throughout the 2015-2016 school year.	Teachers will use on-going reading assessments to provide focused instruction and intervention.	 Collaboration Wednesday: Focus on research based reading interventions. Teachers will share intervention strategies and create a list of research based

 Reading Mentors for K-2 students WATCH DOGS & Parent Volunteers Student Success Team SWAT Team 	classroom interventions • Focus on the RTI process and how to make it most effective
---	--